

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSENDER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.upote.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/591,096	05/11/2007	David W. Boykin	1523/2 PCT/US	6354	
25297 IENKINS WI	7590 03/04/201 LSON, TAYLOR & HI	EXAM	EXAMINER		
3100 Tower Blvd. Suite 1200 DURHAM, NC 27707			STOCKTON, I	STOCKTON, LAURA LYNNE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1626			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/04/2011	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/591,096	BOYKIN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	1626	

	Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	1626						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 23 February 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (f) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 3 °C FR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3 °C FR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing								
b) \(\times \) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. I no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW.								
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07().							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.138(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (a) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). 								
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed) 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canc non-allowable claim(s). 								
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to: 28.								
Claim(s) rejected: 1.16.18.20-27 and 29. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 2-15 and 30-43. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
D. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 13(d)(1).								
10. 🔀 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.								
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.								
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)								
13. Other:								
	/Laura L. Stockton/ Primary Examiner							
	Art Unit: 1626							

Continuation of 3, NOTE: See Applicant's proposed changes to the claims and the newly proposed claim 44.

The Declarations filed February 23, 2011 under 37 CFR 1.132 have been entered and considered. However, the Declarations are not sufficient to overcome the obviousness-type rejection of the claims under 35 USC 103. Firstly, the Declarations state that "W. David Wilson" is a co-inventor in the instant application. In response, it disagreed that "W. David Wilson" is a co-inventor in the instant application. In response, it disagreed that "W. David Wilson" as part of the inventorship in the instant application. The filing receipt dated August 27, 2007 does not list "W. David Wilson" as an inventor. The published WO (WO 0500506754) of PCTU/USSO/07316 nor does the US-PGPUB of the instant application (US 2007/0276206) IRV. David Wilson" as an inventor. Secondly, it does not appear that the signature of "W. David Wilson" in the Declaration is an original signature (i.e., signature appears "cut and pasted"). See 37 CFR 1.4(d)(1).

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of reasons stated in the Office Action dated December 23, 2010, pages 7-9.